arXiv:1402.5761v2 [cs.RO] 25 Feb 2014

A New Technique for Analyzing 6R Linkages: *Quad Polynomials*

Zijia Li and Josef Schicho

February 26, 2014

Abstract

A closed 6R linkage is generically rigid. In this paper we give, for the first time, equational conditions on the Denavit/Hartenberg parameters that are necessary for mobility. The conditions suggest to distinguish the movable 6R linkages into various types characterized by their bond diagrams.

1 Introduction

A closed nR linkage is uniquely determined by its set of Denavit/Hartenberg parameters [3], which consists of $3n$ real numbers: the twist angles, the normal distances and the offsets. If $n = 6$, then a generic choice of these parameters leads to a rigid linkage. In the literature, there are many families of special choices of parameters such that the linkage is mobile, or in other words, several sufficient conditions for mobility are known in [4]. This paper has the purpose of deriving necessary conditions for mobility; up to our knowledge, not a single necessary equation condition has been known up to now.

Our method is based on bond theory, which has been introduced in [7] in order to study overconstrained linkages. Intuitively, bonds are points in the algebraic closure of the *configuration curve* with complex coefficients where something degenerate happens. The bonds carry a combinatorial structure, which is visualized by the bond diagram: every bond connects two joints of the linkage. It is known that adjacent joints are never connected by a bond. If we number the joints cyclically, then a bond connects either joints i and $i + 2$ – then we speak of a *near connection*, – or it connects joints i and $i + 3$, and then we speak of a *far connection*.

In [7], it was shown that the existence of a near connection implies the validity of a well-known condition which also arises frequently in many families, namely Bennett's condition: $s_i = 0$, and $\frac{d_i}{\sin(\phi_i)} = \frac{d_{i+1}}{\sin(\phi_{i+1})}$, where the s_i, d_i, ϕ_i are Denavit/Hartenberg parameters (see section 2 for the precise definitions). However, there are many mobile 6R linkages without near connections, for instance Bricard's orthogonal linkage or Bricard's line symmetric linkage. So, the Bennett conditions are not necessary for mobility.

In this paper, we introduce the *quad polynomials*: these are univariate polynomials of degree 2 with coefficients depending on the Denavit Hartenberg parameters by an explicit formula. Their most important feature is that the existence of a far connection implies a common root of two such quad polynomials. This gives rise to necessary equational conditions, because every mobile linkage has either near or far connections (or both).

It is convenient to classify linkages according to their bond diagram. For any bond diagram, we will derive a non-trivial system of algebraic equations, consisting of Bennett conditions for the near connections and quad polynomial conditions for the far connections. We will show that in some cases, but not in all, these equations do characterize the linkages with this particular bond diagram. As an application, we derive a new family of 6R linkages by solving the corresponding system of equations. This family is remarkable because two of its R-joints can be replaced by H-joints, and the linkage remains movable.

Structure of the paper The remaining part of the paper is set up as follows. In section 2, we introduce all preliminary definitions we need. In section 3, we give the definition of the *quad polynomial* and its main property. Section 4 contains examples (old and new).

At several places, we used the computer algebra system Maple for more elaborate computations: examples, discussions of systems of equations, and the derivation of the quad polynomials. Because of the length of these computations, it is not reasonable to reproduce them in this paper, but they can be found in `http://people.ricam.oeaw.ac.at/z.li/softwares/quadpolynomials.html`. They can be read with any text editor and verified using Maple 16.

2 Preliminary Definitions

2.1 Computation of the Configuration Space

In this section we recall a method of computing the configuration space of a closed 6R linkage using dual quaternions and Denavit/Hartenberg parameters. First, we start by introducing the set of Denavit/Hartenberg parameters of a closed 6R linkage. For $i = 1, \ldots, 6$, let l_i be the rotation axis of the i-th joint. The angle ϕ_i is defined as the angle of the direction vectors of l_i and l_{i+1} (with some choice of orientation). We also set $c_i := \cos(\phi_i)$ and $w_i = \cot(\frac{\phi_i}{2}) = \frac{cos(\phi_i)+1}{sin(\phi_i)}$. The number d_i is defined as the orthogonal distance of the lines l_i and l_{i+1}. Note that d_i may be negative; this depends on some choice of orientation of the common normal, which we denote by n_i.

From now on, we will always assume that there are no parallel adjacent lines, which means that the angles $\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_6$ are not equal to 0 or π. Then we may set $b_i := \frac{d_i}{\sin(\phi_i)}$ (Bennett ratios) as an abbreviation. Finally, we define the offset s_i as the signed distance of the intersections of the common normals n_{i-1} and n_i with l_i.

The Denavit/Hartenberg parameters ϕ_i, d_i, s_i are invariant when the linkage is moving. Moreover, it is well-known that they form a complete system of invariants for all closed 6R linkages without adjacent parallel lines: if two such linkages share all parameters, then there is a configuration that moves the first into the second. (A description of invariant parameters for 6-bar linkages with adjacent parallel lines may be found in [2]).

The closure condition is an equation in the group SE_3 of Euclidean displacements. We give a formulation in the language of dual quaternions, based on the fact that SE_3 is isomorphic to the multiplicative group of dual quaternions with nonzero real norm modulo multiplication by nonzero real scalars. The set $\mathbb{DH}$ is the 8-dimensional real vector-space generated by $1, \mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}, \mathbf{k}, \epsilon, \epsilon\mathbf{i}, \epsilon\mathbf{j}, \epsilon\mathbf{k}$ with multiplication inherited from quaternion multiplication and the rule $\epsilon^2 = 0$ (the dual number ϵ is considered as a scalar). The set of dual quaternions with real norm is also called the Study quadric and denoted by S; it is a quadric hypersurface in $\mathbb{R}^8$.

In the isomorphism described in [8, Section 2.4], the rotation with axis determined by $\mathbf{i}$ and angle ϕ corresponds to the dual quaternion $(\cos(\frac{\phi}{2}) - \sin(\frac{\phi}{2})\mathbf{i})$, which is projectively equivalent to $(\cot(\frac{\phi}{2}) - \mathbf{i})$. The translation parallel to $\mathbf{i}$ by a distance d corresponds to the dual quaternion $\left(1 - \frac{d}{2}\epsilon\mathbf{i}\right)$. So the closure equation is

$$(t_1 - \mathbf{i})g_1(t_2 - \mathbf{i})g_2 \cdots (t_6 - \mathbf{i})g_6 \in \mathbb{R}^*, \tag{1}$$

where

$$g_i = \left(1 - \frac{s_i}{2}\epsilon\mathbf{i}\right)(w_i - \mathbf{k})\left(1 - \frac{d_i}{2}\epsilon\mathbf{k}\right), \tag{2}$$

for $i = 1, \ldots, 6$. This is just the reformulation of the well-known closure equations [3] in terms of dual quaternions.

Remark 1. *In [6, 7, 9], we used a different formulation of the closure equation, namely*

$$(t_1 - h_1)(t_2 - h_2) \cdots (t_6 - h_6) \in \mathbb{R}^*, \tag{3}$$

where $h_1, \ldots, h_6$ are dual quaternions specifying the rotation axes in some initial position of the linkage.

The set K of all 6-tuples $(t_1, \ldots, t_6)$ fulfilling (1) is called the *configuration set* of the linkage L. The dimension of the *configuration set* is called the *mobility* of the linkage. We are mostly interested in linkages of mobility one.

For a fixed set of Denavit/Hartenberg parameters, the configuration set can be computed with the help of the computer algebra Maple. For example, let L be the Bricard line symmetric linkage with parameters

$$(d_1, \ldots, d_6) = \left(\frac{3}{5}, \frac{24}{13}, \frac{72}{25}, \frac{3}{5}, \frac{24}{13}, \frac{72}{25}\right),$$

$$(w_1, \ldots, w_6) = \left(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}, \frac{3}{4}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}, \frac{3}{4}\right),$$

$$(s_1, \ldots, s_6) = (4, 5, 1, 4, 5, 1).$$

Then all g_is of this 6R linkage are

$$\begin{aligned}
g_1 &= \frac{1}{3} - \frac{3}{10}\epsilon - \frac{2}{3}\epsilon\mathbf{i} - 2\epsilon\mathbf{j} - \left(\frac{1}{10}\epsilon + 1\right)\mathbf{k},\\
g_2 &= \frac{2}{3} - \frac{12}{13}\epsilon - \frac{5}{3}\epsilon\mathbf{i} - \frac{5}{2}\epsilon\mathbf{j} - \left(\frac{8}{13}\epsilon + 1\right)\mathbf{k},\\
g_3 &= \frac{3}{4} - \frac{36}{25}\epsilon - \frac{3}{8}\epsilon\mathbf{i} - \frac{1}{2}\epsilon\mathbf{j} - \left(\frac{27}{25}\epsilon + 1\right)\mathbf{k},\\
g_4 &= g_1,\ g_5 = g_2,\ g_6 = g_3.
\end{aligned}$$

We expand the left hand side of the closure equation (1). The coordinates 2,...,8 have to be zero, this gives 7 polynomial equations in $t_1, \ldots, t_6$. One of these equations is redundant, namely the 5th (the coefficient of ϵ). The reason is that the left hand side is always in the Study quadric S, and if an element of the form $a + b\epsilon$ is in S then it follows that $b = 0$. In order to exclude unwanted solutions, we add the inequality $(t_1^2+1)(t_2^2+1)(t_3^2+1)(t_4^2+1)(t_5^2+1)(t_6^2+1) \neq 0$. In order to solve this system with the computer program Maple, we introduce an extra variable u and add the equation $(t_1^2 + 1)(t_2^2 + 1)(t_3^2 + 1)(t_4^2 + 1)(t_5^2 + 1)(t_6^2 + 1)u - 1 = 0$, and compute a Gröbner basis that eliminates u again. The solution set has some zero-dimensional components, which are not interesting, and a one-dimensional component:

$$\begin{aligned}
&171t_1^2t_2^2 - 134t_1^2t_2 + 40t_1t_2^2 + 49t_1^2 - 160t_1t_2 - 5t_2^2 - 24t_1 + 90t_2 - 255,\\
&171t_1t_2^2 + 19t_2^2t_3 - 134t_1t_2 + 40t_2^2 - 222t_2t_3 + 49t_1 - 288t_2 + 105t_3,\\
&171t_1t_2 - 133t_1t_3 + 19t_2t_3 - 134t_1 + 40t_2 - 222t_3 - 323, t_1 - t_4, t_2 - t_5, t_3 - t_6.
\end{aligned}$$

2.2 The Bond Diagram

In this section we recall the fundamentals of bond theory introduced by [7].

Let L be a closed 6R linkage with mobility 1. Let $K_{\mathbb{C}} \subset (\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{C}})^6$ be the Zariski closure of K the configuration set, that is, the zero set of all polynomial equations that vanish on K, including complex points and points at infinity. The set of bonds is defined as

$$B := \{(t_1, \ldots, t_6) \in K_{\mathbb{C}} \mid (t_1 - h_1)g_1(t_2 - h_2)g_2 \cdots (t_6 - h_6)g_6 = 0\}. \qquad (4)$$

Let β be a bond with coordinates $(t_1, \ldots, t_6)$. By Theorem 2 in [7], there exist indices $1 \leq i < j \leq 6$, such that $t_i^2 + 1 = t_j^2 + 1 = 0$. If there are exactly two coordinates of β with values $\pm$i (where i denotes the imaginary unit in the field of complex numbers $\mathbb{C}$), then we say that β *connects* joints i and j. By [7, Corollary 12], we have

$$(t_i - h_i)g_i(t_{i+1} - h_{i+1})g_{i+1} \cdots (t_j - h_j) = 0. \qquad (5)$$

In general, the situation is more complicated: a bond may connect several pairs of joints, or it may connect a single pair of joints with higher connection multiplicity; we refer to [7] for the technical details in these cases.

We visualize bonds and their connection numbers by *bond diagrams.* We start with the link diagram, where vertices correspond to links and edges correspond to joints. Then we draw connections between edges for the bonds connecting the corresponding joints. Note that bonds always come in pairs of complex conjugates, and conjugate bonds connect the same joints; so we draw only one line for each conjugate pair of bonds. In Figure 1, we show some known examples with bond diagrams.



Figure 1: Bond diagrams for the Cube linkage (a), the Bricard line symmetric linkage (b), the new linkage (c), the Waldron partially symmetric linkage (d), the Bricard plane symmetric linkage (e), the Hooke linkage (f), the Dietmaier linkage (g), and the Orthogonal Bricard linkage (h). The joints are labeled by $J_1, \ldots, J_6$. Each bond connects two joints.

We number the joints cyclically by $J_1, \ldots, J_6$. By [7, Theorem 3(c)], a bond cannot connect J_i and J_{i+1} (with addition modulo 6 because of cyclic indexing). We speak of a *near connection* if a bond connects joints J_i and J_{i+2}, and of a *far connection* if a bond connects J_i and J_{i+3}. For instance, the bond diagram in Figure 1(d) has 3 near connections and 6 far connections.

Theorem 1. *A near connection implies a Bennett condition: if J_i and J_{i+2} are connected, then $b_i = \pm b_{i+1}$ and $s_{i+1} = 0$.*

Proof. This is an immediate consequence of Theorem 1 and Corollary 2 of [7]. □

3 Quad Polynomials

In this section, we introduce a technique to derive algebraic equations on the Denavit/Hartenberg parameters which are necessary for the existence of a far connection. Because any mobile linkage has either a near or a far connection, this allows to deduce necessary conditions for mobility.

Assume that $\beta = (\mathrm{i}, \alpha, \beta, \mathrm{i}, \alpha', \beta')$ is a bond connecting J_1 and J_4 (note that the first and fourth coordinate must be $\pm\mathrm{i}$ by the definition of a connection). We define $q := (\mathrm{i} - \mathbf{i})g_1(\alpha - \mathbf{i})g_2(\beta - \mathbf{i})g_3$. Then we have

$$(\mathrm{i} + \mathbf{i})q = 0 = q(\mathrm{i} - \mathbf{i}),$$

where the first equation follows from $\mathrm{i}^2 - \mathbf{i}^2 = 0$ and the second equation is a consequence of bond theory. All solutions of the two equations above are scalar multiples of $\mathbf{j} + \mathrm{i}\mathbf{k}$, the scalar being an arbitrary dual number. Hence we may write $q = (a + b\epsilon)(\mathbf{j} + \mathrm{i}\mathbf{k})$ with unique numbers $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$. If $a \neq 0$ (this is the case when both α and β are not equal to $\pm\mathrm{i}$), then q is projectively equivalent (that is, up to multiplication by a nonzero complex number) to $(1 + x_0\epsilon)(\mathbf{j} + \mathrm{i}\mathbf{k})$ for some $x_0 \in \mathbb{C}$. Our goal is to define a polynomial $Q_1^+ \in \mathbb{C}[x]$, with coefficients depending on the Denavit/Hartenberg parameters, such that $Q_1^+(x_0) = 0$.

The triple of complex numbers (α, β, x_0) satisfies the following three equations:

1. the first coordinate (the coefficient of 1) of q is zero;
2. the fifth coordinate (the coefficient of ϵ) of q is zero;
3. the product of the third coordinate (the coefficient of $\mathbf{j}$) of q and x is equal to the seventh coordinate (the coefficient of $\epsilon\mathbf{j}$) of q.

Conversely, if a triple (α, β, x_0) satisfies these three equations, it is straightforward to show that q as defined above is projectively equivalent to $(1+x_0\epsilon)(\mathbf{j}+\mathrm{i}\mathbf{k})$. We define now the quad polynomial $Q_1^+(x)$ as the resultant of the three polynomial equations above with respect to the variables α, β. In order to achieve uniqueness, we assume that Q_1^+ is normed, that is, its leading coefficient is 1.

Using the computer algebra system Maple, the computation of the resultant can be done with symbolic expressions for the Denavit/Hartenberg parameters. The result is the quadric polynomial

$$Q_1^+(x) = \left(x + \frac{b_3c_3 - b_1c_1}{2} - \frac{s_1}{2}\mathrm{i}\right)^2 +$$

$$\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\left(b_1s_2 + b_3s_3 + s_2b_3c_2 + s_3b_1c_2\right) -$$

$$\frac{b_1b_3c_2 - s_2s_3c_2}{2} + \frac{s_2^2 + s_3^2 - b_1^2 + b_2^2 - b_3^2 - b_2^2c_2^2}{4}.$$

For $i = 2, \ldots, 6$, we define the quad polynomial $Q_i^+(x)$ by a cyclic shift of indices that shifts 1 to i. Finally, we define $Q_i^-(x)$ by replacing the parameters $c_1, \ldots, c_6, b_1, \ldots, b_6$ and s_2, s_4, s_6 by their negatives, and leaving s_1, s_3, s_5 as they are. For instance,

$$Q_1^-(x) = \left(x + \frac{b_3c_3 - b_1c_1}{2} - \frac{s_1}{2}\mathrm{i}\right)^2 +$$

$$\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\left(b_1s_2 - b_3s_3 - s_2b_3c_2 + s_3b_1c_2\right) -$$

$$\frac{-b_1b_3c_2 - s_2s_3c_2}{2} + \frac{s_2^2 + s_3^2 - b_1^2 + b_2^2 - b_3^2 - b_2^2c_2^2}{4}.$$

Theorem 2. *Let k be the number of bond connections of J_1 and J_4. Then*

$$k \leq \deg(\gcd(Q_1^+, Q_4^+)) + \deg(\gcd(Q_1^-, Q_4^-)).$$

Proof. Assume that $\beta = (\pm\mathrm{i}, \alpha, \pm\beta, \pm\mathrm{i}, \alpha', \beta')$ is a bond connecting J_1 and J_4. We may assume that its first coordinate is i; otherwise, we replace β by its complex conjugate. As above, we define $q := (\mathrm{i} - \mathbf{i})g_1(\alpha - \mathbf{i})g_2(\beta - \mathbf{i})g_3$. By the construction of the quad polynomial Q_1^+, there exists $x_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ such that q is projectively equivalent to $(1 + x_0\epsilon)(\mathbf{j} + \mathrm{i}\mathbf{k})$ and $Q_1^+(x_0) = 0$. Now we apply a cyclic shift and obtain, in the same way, an $x_1 \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $q' := (\mathrm{i} - \mathbf{i})g_4(\alpha' - \mathbf{i})g_5(\beta' - \mathbf{i})g_6$ is projectively equivalent to $(1 + x_1\epsilon)(\mathbf{j} + \mathrm{i}\mathbf{k})$ and $Q_4^+(x_1) = 0$. Now β satisfies all algebraic equations that are valid in the configuration set, in particular the equation expressing that $(t_1 - \mathbf{i})g_1(t_2 - \mathbf{i})g_2(t_3 - \mathbf{i})g_4$ is projectively equivalent to the quaternion conjugate of $(t_4 - \mathbf{i})g_4(t_5 - \mathbf{i})g_5(t_6 - \mathbf{i})g_6$. Hence q and q' are conjugate as dual quaternions, up to complex scalar multiplication. But the scalar parts of both q and q' vanish, hence q and q' are projectively equivalent. Hence $x_0 = x_1$, and we have derived the existence of a common zero of Q_1^+ and Q_4^+, under the assumption of the existence of a bond with $t_1 = t_4 = \mathrm{i}$. Hence $\deg(\gcd(Q_1^+, Q_4^+))$ is an upper bound for the number of bond connections of J_1 and J_4 by bonds with $t_1 = t_4 = \mathrm{i}$. Similarly, one shows that $\deg(\gcd(Q_1^-, Q_4^-))$ is an upper bound for the number of bond connections of J_1 and J_4 by bonds with $t_1 = -t_4 = \mathrm{i}$. □

It is well-known that two univariate polynomials have a greatest common divisor of positive degree if and only their resultant is zero. The resultant of two quad polynomials is a polynomial expression in the Denavit/Hartenberg parameters. Its vanishing gives rise to two equations, because the resultant has a real and an imaginary part. If the product of all these resultants times, say, the product of all offsets is not zero, then no bond can exist and the linkage is rigid.

However, the polynomial conditions obtained in this way are big and difficult to solve. It is therefore more promising to go through some case distinctions on the bond diagram. In order to obtain the strongest possible algebraic conditions, one should make the following assumptions on the bond structure.

- For each of the six pairs (J_i, J_{i+2}) of near joints, we make an assumption whether they are connected or not.
- For each pair of the three pairs (J_i, J_{i+3}) of far joints, we make an assumption on the number of connections by bonds with $t_i = t_{i+3} = \mathrm{i}$ and on the number of connections by bonds with $t_i = -t_{i+3} = \mathrm{i}$. In both cases, this number is in the set $\{0, 1, 2\}$.
- The assumptions must be consistent with the condition that every joint is attached to at least one bond.

In the case when the number of connections of (J_i, J_{i+3}) by bonds with $t_i = t_{i+3} = \mathrm{i}$ is equal to 2, then the two polynomials $Q_i^+(x)$ and $Q_{i+3}^+(x)$ must be equal, because they are both quadratic and normed and have a quadratic greatest common divisor. This is equivalent to the vanishing of four polynomials in the Denavit/Hartenberg parameters, namely the real and the complex part of the linear and the constant coefficient of the difference polynomial.

4 Examples

In the first subsection, we apply the method of quad polynomials to several well-known families of mobile 6R linkages. The main purpose of this subsection is to show that our method is another way to "explain" already known equations with a unifying method. In the second subsection, we use the method to obtain a new family of mobile 6R linkages. This family is remarkable because two of its R-joints may be replaced by H-joints, and the linkage is still movable.

As in the section 2, we use the Bennett ratios $b_1, \ldots, b_6$, the angle cosines $c_1, \ldots, c_6$ and the offsets $s_1, \ldots, s_6$. In addition, we also use the values $f_k = c_k b_k$, $k = 1, \ldots, 6$, as abbreviations; this leads to shorter formulas.

4.1 Some Known Examples

4.1.1 Bricard's Line Symmetric Linkage

If $b_i = b_{i+3}$, $w_i = w_{i+3}$, and $s_i = s_{i+3}$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$, then there is a one-dimensional set of line symmetric positions which allow the link to move. Ap-

parently, we also have

$$Q_1^+ = Q_4^+,\ Q_2^+ = Q_5^+,\ Q_3^+ = Q_6^+,$$

which means that the necessary conditions for a double connection between each pair of far joints are satisfied. As we saw in Figure 1(b), the bond diagram does indeed have these three double connections.

Conversely, it is not true that the 12 equations (obtained by $Q_i^+ = Q_{i+3}^+$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$) imply that the linkage is line symmetric. A counterexample is Bricard's orthogonal linkage, see section 4.1.4 below.

4.1.2 Hooke's Double Spherical Linkage

By combining two spherical linkages with one joint in common, and then removing the common joint, we obtain a movable 6R linkage that has two triples of three joint axes meeting in a point (say the axes of J_6, J_1, J_2 and the axes of J_3, J_4, J_5). In this case, it is easy to see that $b_1 = b_3 = b_4 = b_6 = s_1 = s_4 = 0$. Another equation, namely

$$s_2^2 + s_3^2 + b_2^2 - f_2^2 + 2s_2s_3c_2 = s_5^2 + s_6^2 + b_5^2 - f_5^2 + 2s_5s_6c_5$$

can be derived by geometric considerations (see [4]). Alternatively, we consider the bond diagram of this linkage, which is shown in Figure 1(f). As we have a fourfold connection of J_1 and J_4, we get $Q_1^+ = Q_4^+$ and $Q_1^- = Q_4^-$, and under the assumption that $b_1 = b_3 = b_4 = b_6 = s_1 = s_4 = 0$, this is equivalent to the above condition.

4.1.3 Dietmaier's Linkage

In [4], Dietmaier describes a family of mobile 6R linkages, which he found by a computer-supported numerical seach. It can be characterised by the equations

$$b_6 = b_1, b_3 = b_4, b_2 = b_5, c_2 = c_5, f_6 + f_1 = f_3 + f_4, s_6 = s_2, s_3 = s_5, s_1 = s_4 = 0.$$

Its bond diagram is shown in Figure 1(g).

Starting from the assumption on the bond structure, we first obtain the conditions $b_6 = b_1$, $b_3 = b_4$, $s_1 = s_4 = 0$ as consequences of Bennett conditions implied by the existence of short connections. Since we have again a fourfold connection of J_1 and J_4, we again get $Q_1^+ = Q_4^+$ and $Q_1^- = Q_4^-$. We added the inequality condition $b_1b_4 \neq 0$ and did a computer-supported analysis of the solution set using Maple It turns out that there are two components. The first one is Dietmaier's family. The second is given by the equations

$$b_6 = b_1, b_3 = b_4, b_2 = -b_5, c_2 = c_5, f_6+f_1 = f_3+f_4, s_6 = s_2, s_3 = s_5, s_1 = s_4 = 0.$$

We computed the configuration set of a random instance of the second component. It appeared to be finite, hence the second component is not a family of mobile 6R linkages. However, the subset of solutions that also fulfill the condition $f_1 + f_6 = 0$ is a well-known family, namely the Bricard plane symmetric linkage. Its bond diagram is shown in Figure 1(e).

4.1.4 Bricard's Orthogonal Linkage

The well-known family (see [1]) of orthogonal linkages can be described by the conditions

$$s_1 = \cdots = s_6 = 0,\ c_1 = \cdots = c_6 = 0,$$
$$b_1^2 + b_3^2 + b_5^2 = b_2^2 + b_4^2 + b_6^2.$$

(The name of this family already tells the twist angles are right angles.) It is easy to prove that these equations imply

$$Q_1^+ = Q_4^+, Q_2^+ = Q_5^+, Q_3^+ = Q_6^+, Q_1^- = Q_4^-, Q_2^- = Q_5^-, Q_3^- = Q_6^-, \qquad (6)$$

which means that the the necessary conditions for the existence of the maximal number of far connections is fulfilled. Indeed, the bond diagram has all these far connections; this can be seen in Figure 1(h).

The system of equations (6) has more solutions, leading to other linkages with the same bond diagram. This is studied in [5].

4.2 A New Example

We assume that there are no near connections, four connections of J_2 and J_5, four connections of J_3 and J_6, and two connections of J_1 and J_4 by bonds with $t_1 = t_4$. The bond diagram can be seen in Figure 1(c). Then we get the following equalities of polynomials in $\mathbb{C}[x]$:

$$Q_1^+ = Q_4^+, Q_2^+ = Q_5^+, Q_3^+ = Q_6^+, Q_3^- = Q_6^-, Q_2^- = Q_5^-. \qquad (7)$$

Using the computer algebra system Maple, we obtained the following equivalent system of solutions:

$$b_1^2 + b_3^2 + b_5^2 + f_6^2 = b_2^2 + b_4^2 + b_6^2 + f_3^2, f_2 + f_3 = f_5 + f_6,$$
$$b_2c_1 - b_3 = b_2c_3 - b_1 = b_5c_4 - b_6 = b_5c_6 - b_4 = 0,$$
$$s_2 = s_3 = s_5 = s_6 = 0, s_1 = s_4.$$

The solution set is irreducible. Here is a random numerical example:

$$b_1 = -\frac{1}{3}, b_2 = -\frac{61}{33}, b_3 = \frac{305}{429}, b_4 = \frac{2000}{1001}, b_5 = -\frac{2900}{1001}, b_6 = \frac{1740}{1001},$$
$$w_1 = \frac{2}{3}, w_2 = -4, w_3 = \frac{6}{5}, w_4 = \frac{1}{2}, w_5 = \frac{\sqrt{54083849}}{6619}, w_6 = \frac{3}{7},$$
$$s_2 = s_3 = s_5 = s_6 = 0, s_1 = s_4 = \frac{2}{3}.$$

We compute the configuration space of this example and it turns out that it is indeed a curve, hence the linkage is mobile.

One can observe, in addition, that all points in the configuration space satisfy the equation $t_1 = t_4$. When we vary $s_1 = s_4$, we get a configuration set of a CRRCRR linkage (2 cylindrical joints). This set is an infinite union of curves,

so its dimension is 2. Let $a \in \mathbb{R}$. Then we may pose a new constraint like $t_1 = \cot(as_1)$, and still have dimension 1; the second constraint $t_4 = \cot(as_4)$ is implied because $t_1 = t_4$ and $s_1 = s_4$. This defines an HRRHRR linkage with mobility 1.

Acknowledgements

The research was supported by the Austrian Science Fund (FWF): W1214-N15, project DK9.

References

[1] J. Eddie Baker. An analysis of the Bricard linkages. *Mechanism and Machine Theory*, 15(4):267–286, 1980.

[2] J. Eddie Baker. Overconstrained six-bars with parallel adjacent joint-axes. *Mechanism and Machine Theory*, 38(2):103–117, 2003.

[3] J. Denavit and R. S. Hartenberg. A kinematic notation for lower-pair mechanisms based on matrices. *Trans. of the ASME. Journal of Applied Mechanics*, 22:215–221, 1955.

[4] Peter Dietmaier. *Einfach übergeschlossene Mechanismen mit Drehgelenken*. Habilitation thesis, Graz University of Technology, 1995.

[5] Gábor Hegedüs, Zijia Li, Josef Schicho, and Hans-Peter Schröcker. The theory of bonds II: Closed 6R linkages with maximal genus. Technical report, 2013.

[6] Gábor Hegedüs, Josef Schicho, and Hans-Peter Schröcker. Factorization of rational curves in the study quadric. *Mechanism and Machine Theory*, 69(0):142 – 152, 2013.

[7] Gábor Hegedüs, Josef Schicho, and Hans-Peter Schröcker. The theory of bonds: A new method for the analysis of linkages. *Mechanism and Machine Theory*, 70(0):407 – 424, 2013.

[8] Manfred L. Husty and Hans-Peter Schröcker. Algebraic geometry and kinematics. In Ioannis Z. Emiris, Frank Sottile, and Thorsten Theobald, editors, *Nonlinear Computational Geometry*, volume 151 of *The IMA Volumes in Mathematics and its Applications*, chapter Algebraic Geometry and Kinematics, pages 85–107. Springer, 2010.

[9] Zijia Li and Josef Schicho. Classification of angle-symmetric 6R linkages. *Mechanism and Machine Theory*, 70(0):372 – 379, 2013.